

(Series of TWG emails in Feb 08 talking about conflicts of interest)

-----Original Message-----

From: anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com [mailto:anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com]

On Behalf Of Kathleen Matson

Sent: Sunday, February 17, 2008 2:16 PM

To: anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: [anthemtrumpets] Re: recent information

Patti: At this point I do not see why you should resign, however I think we should have a meeting tomorrow (Monday) to discuss several issues. Members of the women's club are very upset that Chef Neal did not make the finals and some residents have contacted me about concerns regarding LuvRules. I would just like to discuss the groups position on a few issues.
Kathy Matson

--- camillebrunetta <camillebrunetta@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> Patti: if you are confident that you have
>> conducted yourself in an
>> objective manner, there is no reason for you to
>> resign. Camille and
>> Tony Brunetta
>>
>> -- In anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com, "David Berman"
>> <davidinlv@...>
>> wrote:

>>> >
>>> > I wholeheartedly agree.
>>> >
>>> > There has been far too much internal sniping in
>>> >
>> our group, and I
>> have been the victim of some of that myself. Patti
>> has given us
>> excellent leadership of the highest caliber. I'm
>> sure that the vast
>> majority of us support her and want her to help us
>> see the matter
>> through to conclusion.

>>> >
>>> > --David Berman

>>> >
>>> > ----- Original Message -----
>>> > From: Robert Sansing
>>> > To: anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com ; Jane
>> Petschauer

>>> >
>>> > Cc: anthemtrumpets@yahoo.com
>>> > Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 7:37 AM
>>> > Subject: Re: [anthemtrumpets] Re: recent
>> information

>>> >
>>> > Dear Patti:
>>> > Given the fact that we are down to 3 contenders
>> with the Board
>> making the final decision, I see no reason for you
>> to resign from the
>> group. It would only confuse the community and force
>> us to waste time
>> explaining your decision which would give life to
>> the conspiracy

>> theory people. I vote you stay on as chairman.
>>> >
>>> > Regards,
>>> > Bob Sansing
>>> >
>>> > patti.shock@... wrote:
>>> > Due to Jane's innuendoes, I am finding it
>> difficult to continue
>> as part of this group. I originally resigned
>> because I didn't want to
>> stand in the way of LuvRules. Now, whatever
>> happens, his application
>> is tainted. This is what I feared would happen.
>>> >
>>> > I have no recollection of being told she knew
>> anyone in the
>> process. This is why I was stunned by the news.
>>> > For the record: I was asked by the rating
>> committee to attend
>> their meetings.
>>> >
>>> > At this point, I am considering resignation
>> again, but I do not
>> wish to harm the working group with such a move
>> without hearing from
>> the group members.
>>> >
>>> > And, if all the group wants is pub food in
>> that beautiful facility - when we are surrounded by
>> pubs - I would not be proud to
>> say I was involved in the process anyway.
>>> >
>>> "Jane Petschauer" <jep7371@...>
>>> 02/13/2008 10:44 PM To "Patti Shock"
>> <patti.shock@...>
>>> cc anthemtrumpets@yahoogroups.com
>>> > Subject recent information
>>> >
>>> > Patti,
>>> >
>>> > I have chosen to send this email to all TWG
>> members so there is no misunderstanding.
>>> >
>>> > The manager of Boulevard Bar & Grill told us
>> that you had
>> stopped in and he told you that he knew us and other
>> residents of Sun
>> City Anthem that eat there regularly.
>>> > If you remember I did disclose this to the
>> group when Darryl
>> indicated that he knew one of the four applicants. I
>> stated that I did
>> too. After that meeting I went up to you and told
>> you again that I
>> knew one of the applicants and that is why neither
>> David nor I
>> submitted a resume to be part of the rating
>> committee in any form of
>> participation including sitting in, discussing
>> proposals, filling out
>> rating forms or observing the process as you did.
>> We chose not to be
>> part of the rating process at all. The rating group

>> which you chose
>> to participate in by sitting in, filling out the
>> rating forms and
>> discussing the proposals is nothing less than
>> indirect involvement in
>> the decision making process. This rating group
>> holds a great deal of
>> the rating and voting power.
>>>> >
>>>> > It is interesting that one of the applicants
>> you supported was
>> selected as one of the finalists. One can
>> reasonable argue that your
>> presence at the selection sessions reflected
>> favorably on Luvsrules
>> being chosen as one of the final four (an applicant
>> that has never
>> owned or operated a successful restaurant venture).
>> By attending
>> these rating sessions and rating applicants
>> informally you put
>> yourself in a position of "conflict of interest".
>>>> > I on the other hand did not attend nor
>> participate in any of the
>> selection sessions as you did.
>>>> >
>>>> > One of the committee members came up to me and
>> asked how the
>> site visit to Trumpets went with my friend. I told
>> the member that
>> Mr. Golf is not my friend and that I had never met
>> him until the visit
>> to Trumpets with Darryl, Mr. Golf and his designer.
>> I chose to
>> respond to Mr. Golf because I felt we should be
>> supportive of all
>> groups when they request a need especially when they
>> are in town for a
>> few days as we have done to accommodate Luvsrules
>> during the short
>> period of time they are in town.
>>>> >
>>>> > I have not made any supportive statements
>> about Boulevard Bar
>> and Grill except in my statement about their food(of
>> which I am
>> knowledgeable) to the tasting committee. But as I
>> stated in that
>> email this is my opinion and everyone has their own
>> food tastes.
>> However you announced to the entire group at one of
>> our Monday
>> meetings that you would support Luvsrules any way
>> you could.
>>>> >
>>>> > As you have suggested I will not be a part of
>> the tasting
>> committee nor be there when the tasting is taking
>> place. In this way
>> I will not have a vote, influence the process at all
>> or show
>> favoritism to any one applicant. I encourage
>> anyone who knows an

>> applicant to do the same.
>>> >
>>> > For the record-David did not go to high school
>> with the
>> manager's brother. The manager and his brother went
>> to the same high
>> school as David but were several years apart. We do
>> eat at the
>> Boulevard on a regular basis along with many other
>> Sun City Anthem residents.
>>> >
>>> > It is our hope that this process will provide
>> our residents with
>> === message truncated ===