

As Posted on Ron Johnson's OP-ED Blog on September 2, 2008:

Ron Johnson said:

Carl Weinstein tells us he is reluctant to share certain information with fellow board member Bob Frank because he does not trust him to keep the information confidential. One gathers that Carl assumes that he, and he alone on the board, is the keeper of matters to be kept secret and when and under what conditions. I believe there is an inherent danger for us all in any one person assuming that responsibility for the entire Board. Carl's distrust of Frank to keep something confidential should in no way allow him to withhold relevant Board information. Carl's belief by itself in no way should dictate his fiduciary duty to act like a board member. Once again, sadly and to his detriment, Carl raises the issue of his suitability to be a responsible member of the board.

September 2, 2008 7:43 PM

Carl Weinstein said:

To Bob Frank: I am getting tired of responding to your insinuations about my actions. I never divulged Chef Neal's proposal at the Board meeting and as far as my not initially giving you contact information for other proposals it is because I DONT TRUST YOU to keep information confidential. Two documents were submitted by the so-called Chef. One was a confidential introductory letter and the other SEPARATE document was his proposal and it was not marked confidential. You are now espousing opinions contrary to your previous accusations about "secrecy" by the Board. Now you are saying that residents are not entitled to know what proposals are being made for THEIR RESTAURANT. I caution you that the Board has approved the restaurant as a public domain. Any attempts to throw a monkey wrench into the process will be dealt with appropriately.

September 2, 2008 5:59 PM